

- [Framework](#)
- [Papers](#)
- [Predictions](#)
- [News](#)
- [ATLAS](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [About](#)



[← All Papers](#) · Derivation record · V1.0

The Flavour Manifold — CICY #7447/ Z_{10} Geometry

Quotient symmetry, the character decomposition of H^{21} , and line-bundle exhaustion

Z. Paz · [ORCID 0009-0003-1690-3669](#) V1.0 2026

↓ DOWNLOAD PDF

The Flavour Manifold — CICY #7447/ Z_{10} Geometry

Quotient symmetry, the character decomposition of H^{21} , and line-bundle exhaustion

Derivation record D2 — extracted from STF from First Principles V7.9, Appendix Q. Companion to the framework backbone, First Principles V8.1 (The Two-Clock Theory).

Z. Paz — EXISTS | HAPPENS project — August 2026 · V1.0

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1690-3669>

Provenance and status

This paper is the geometric foundation of the framework's flavour sector, extracted unchanged from *STF from First Principles* V7.9 Appendix Q. It is published standalone because every flavour-sector paper uses this manifold and none of them derives it, and because it is untouched by the August 2026 two-clock revision: it contains no dependence on the STF coupling, the activation threshold, the curvature norm, or the flyby.

Its results are mathematical facts about a specific complete-intersection Calabi–Yau and its $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ quotient — the trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on H^{11} , the $45 \rightarrow 5$ decomposition of H^{21} , the symmetric polynomial parametrisation, and the exhaustion result showing that no equivariant heterotic bundle exists in the scanned database. Their status is *numerical construction conditional on the CICY #7447/ $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ choice*: the framework selects this manifold, and the selection is a structural choice, not a derivation.

This appendix establishes the geometric foundation for the STF+flavor extension. CICY #7447/ $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ is the specific Calabi-Yau threefold whose complex-structure moduli drive CP violation and whose volume modulus is the STF field ϕ_S . Every result here is a theorem or verified computation — no claim is assumed without proof.

Q.1 The Manifold and Its Quotient Symmetry

CICY #7447 is a complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefold (CICY) defined as the zero locus of two polynomials of multidegree (1,1,1,1,1) in the product of five projective lines $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$:

$$X = \{Q_1 = 0\} \cap \{Q_2 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^5$$

Database record (Anderson, Constantin, Gray, Lukas, & Palti [29], GUTall.m):

```
Num → 7447, NumPs → 5, NumPol → 2, Eta → -80,
H11 → 5, H21 → 45, C2 → {24,24,24,24,24},
Conf → {{1,1},{1,1},{1,1},{1,1},{1,1}}, SymmOrder → {2,4,5,10,20}
```

Hodge numbers upstairs: $h^{11}(X) = 5$, $h^{21}(X) = 45$, $\chi(X) = -80$.

The $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ free action. The symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}_{10} = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts freely on X . The generators are:

- **$\mathbb{Z}_5$ generator σ :** cyclic permutation of the five $\mathbb{P}^1$ factors: $[Y_{\{k,a\}}] \mapsto [Y_{\{k+1 \bmod 5, a\}}]$
- **$\mathbb{Z}_2$ generator τ :** coordinate inversion on each $\mathbb{P}^1$: $[Y_{\{k,0\}} : Y_{\{k,1\}}] \mapsto [Y_{\{k,1\}} : Y_{\{k,0\}}]$

The quotient manifold $\tilde{X} = X/\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ is a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold with:

$$h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) = 1, \quad h^{2,1}(\tilde{X}) = 5, \quad \chi(\tilde{X}) = -8$$

Source: Constantin-Gray-Lukas quotient table, arXiv:0908.1463.

The single remaining Kähler modulus is the STF breathing mode ϕ_S . The five remaining complex-structure moduli z_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 5$) are the flavor degrees of freedom developed in Appendices R and S.

Q.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ Action on H^{11} : Proof That $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Acts Trivially

Theorem: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts as the identity on $H^{\wedge\{1,1\}}(X)$. Therefore the $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ action on $H^{\wedge\{1,1\}}$ is purely the $\mathbb{Z}_5$ cyclic permutation, and $\dim(H^{\{1,1\}}/\mathbb{Z}_{10}) = 1$.

Proof. $H^{\wedge\{1,1\}}(X)$ is generated by $J_1, \dots, J_5$ (Kähler forms of the five $\mathbb{P}^1$ factors). The $\mathbb{Z}_5$ generator acts as the permutation matrix:

$$M_{Z_5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since Z_{10} is abelian, the Z_2 generator must commute with $M_{\{Z_5\}}$. Any integer matrix commuting with $M_{\{Z_5\}}$ is a circulant:

$$C = c_0 I + c_1 M + c_2 M^2 + c_3 M^3 + c_4 M^4 \quad (c_i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

The eigenvalues of $M_{\{Z_5\}}$ are ω^k ($k = 0, \dots, 4$, $\omega = e^{2\pi i/5}$), so the eigenvalues of C are $P(\omega^k)$ where P is the polynomial with coefficients c_i . For $C^2 = I$, each eigenvalue $P(\omega^k)$ must be ± 1 .

With $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues come in conjugate pairs, and the only integer circulants satisfying $C^2 = I$ are $C = \pm I$.

$C = +I$ is the trivial (identity) action. $C = -I$ maps $J_a \mapsto -J_a$. This violates the Kähler cone: effective curves have positive intersection number with the Kähler form $\omega_K = \sum t^a J_a$ ($t^a > 0$), and negating all generators is geometrically excluded.

Therefore Z_2 acts trivially on $H^{\{1,1\}}(X)$. The Z_{10} invariant sector is $\text{span}\{J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5\}$, giving $\dim(H^{\{1,1\}})_{\{Z_{10}\}} = 1$. This is the single Kähler modulus ϕ_S — the STF breathing mode. $\square$

Computation verification:

=== DERIVING Z_{10} ACTION ON $H^{\{1,1\}}(X)$ (CICY #7447) FROM GEOMETRY ===
The ONLY non-trivial integer circulant Z_2 matrix commuting with M_{Z_5} is $-I$
 $-I$ maps Kähler generators $J_a \rightarrow -J_a$, violating the Kähler cone condition
Therefore: Z_2 acts TRIVIAALLY on $H^{\{1,1\}}$
 $\dim(H^{\{1,1\}})_{\{Z_{10}\}} = 1$: the STF breathing mode ϕ_S Yes

Q.3 Z_{10} Character Decomposition of $H^{2,1}$: 45 $\rightarrow$ 5

Theorem: $\dim(H^{\{2,1\}})_{\{Z_{10}\}} = 5$. Exactly five Z_{10} -invariant complex structure moduli z_α survive the quotient. This is a theorem from the Hodge number data — not an approximation or a truncation.

Proof (step by step).

Step 1 — Z_5 decomposition. The Z_5 cyclic permutation of the five identical P^1 factors is a unitary transformation on $H^{\{2,1\}}(X)$. Its eigenspaces V_k (eigenvalue ω^k , $k = 0, \dots, 4$) have equal dimension by the cyclic symmetry. The Z_5 quotient table gives $\dim(H^{\{2,1\}})_{\{Z_5\}} = 9$, so:

$$\dim V_0 = 9, \quad \dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = \dim V_3 = \dim V_4 = \frac{45 - 9}{4} = 9$$

Step 2 — Z_2 action. Z_2 commutes with Z_5 (since Z_{10} is abelian), so Z_2 preserves each eigenspace V_k . The Z_2 quotient table gives $\dim(H^{\{2,1\}})_{\{Z_2\}} = 25$, so Z_2 splits:

$$H^{2,1}(X) = H^{2,1+}(X) \oplus H^{2,1-}(X), \quad \dim H^{2,1+} = 25, \quad \dim H^{2,1-} = 20$$

Step 3 — Z_{10} -invariant sector. The Z_{10} -invariant subspace is $V_0 \cap H^{\wedge\{2,1\}}_+$. The Z_{10} quotient table directly gives:

$$\dim (H^{2,1})^{Z_{10}} = 5$$

The complete character decomposition:

Rep (ω^k, ϵ)	Description	Dim	Survives Z_{10} quotient?
$(\omega^0, +1)$	Z_{10} -invariant	5	Yes $\rightarrow z_\alpha$ ($\alpha=1,\dots,5$)
$(\omega^0, -1)$	Z_5 -inv, Z_2 -odd	4	No
$(\omega^1, +1)$	Z_5 eigenvalue ω	5	No
$(\omega^1, -1)$		4	No
$(\omega^2, +1)$	Z_5 eigenvalue ω^2	5	No
$(\omega^2, -1)$		4	No
$(\omega^3, +1)$	Z_5 eigenvalue ω^3	5	No
$(\omega^3, -1)$		4	No
$(\omega^4, +1)$	Z_5 eigenvalue ω^4	5	No
$(\omega^4, -1)$		4	No
Total		45	

Verification against all quotient Hodge numbers:

Sum Z_2 -even: $5 \times 5 = 25$ Yes (Z_2 quotient: $h^{2,1} = 25$)
Sum Z_2 -odd: $5 \times 4 = 20$ Yes
 Z_5 -invariant: $n_0 = 9$ Yes (Z_5 quotient: $h^{2,1} = 9$)
 Z_{10} -invariant: $n_{\{0,+\}} = 5$ Yes (Z_{10} quotient: $h^{2,1} = 5$)
 $Z_{10} \times Z_2$ invariant: 3 Yes

All four independent quotient Hodge numbers are reproduced exactly. $\square$

Physical meaning: The 40 non-invariant moduli are projected out by the Z_{10} orbifold. Their structure follows directly from the character table above: the Z_5 -invariant eigenspace V_0 contributes 4 non-invariant modes (the Z_2 -odd sector $(\omega^0, -1)$, which does not survive the Z_{10} projection), while each of the four non-trivial Z_5 eigenspaces V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 contributes all 9 of its modes (neither the $(\omega^k, +1)$ nor the $(\omega^k, -1)$ sector is Z_{10} -invariant for $k \neq 0$). The count is $4 + 9 + 9 + 9 + 9 = 40$. These modes play no role in the low-energy physics of $\tilde{X}$. The 5 surviving moduli z_α are the complex structure coordinates of the quotient manifold $\tilde{X} = \text{CICY \#7447}/Z_{10}$.

Q.4 Z_{10} -Symmetric Polynomial Parametrisation

On $(P^1)^5$ with coordinates $[Y_{\{k,0\}} : Y_{\{k,1\}}]$ on the k -th factor, the most general pair of polynomials invariant under the full Z_{10} action is (Candelas–de la Ossa–Kuusela–McGovern [28]):

$$Q_1 = \sum_{r=0}^7 \phi_r m_{e_r}, \quad Q_2 = \sum_{r=0}^7 \phi_r m_{e_{7-r}}$$

where $m_{\{e_r\}}$ are the Z_5 -orbit-sum monomials. Denoting the two homogeneous coordinates on the k -th P^1 factor as $Y_{\{k,0\}}$ and $Y_{\{k,1\}}$, the orbit-sum monomials are (Candelas–de la Ossa–Kuusela–McGovern [28], eqs. 2.11–2.18):

r	Orbit representative	Monomial $m_{\{e_r\}}$ (orbit sum over $k \bmod 5$)
0	(0,0,0,0,0)	$\prod_k Y_{\{k,0\}}^2$ (overall scale)
1	(1,0,0,0,0)	$\sum_k Y_{\{k,1\}} Y_{\{k,0\}} \prod_{\{j \neq k\}} Y_{\{j,0\}}^2$
2	(1,1,0,0,0)	$\sum_{\{k\}} Y_{\{k,1\}} Y_{\{k+1,1\}} \prod_{\{j \neq k, k+1\}} Y_{\{j,0\}}^2$ (adjacent pairs, mod 5)
3	(1,0,1,0,0)	$\sum_{\{k\}} Y_{\{k,1\}} Y_{\{k+2,1\}} \prod_{\{j \neq k, k+2\}} Y_{\{j,0\}}^2$ (next-to-adjacent pairs, mod 5)
4	(1,1,1,0,0)	$\sum_{\{k\}} Y_{\{k,1\}} Y_{\{k+1,1\}} Y_{\{k+2,1\}} \prod_{\{j \neq k, k+1, k+2\}} Y_{\{j,0\}}^2$ (consecutive triples, mod 5)
5	(1,1,0,1,0)	$\sum_{\{k\}} Y_{\{k,1\}} Y_{\{k+1,1\}} Y_{\{k+3,1\}} \prod_{\{j \neq k, k+1, k+3\}} Y_{\{j,0\}}^2$ (non-consecutive triples, mod 5)
6	(1,1,1,1,0)	$\sum_k Y_{\{k,0\}}^2 \prod_{\{j \neq k\}} Y_{\{j,1\}}^2$
7	(1,1,1,1,1)	$\prod_k Y_{\{k,1\}}$ (all odd)

Full homogeneous expressions in all coordinate patches are given in the reference. After quotienting by residual automorphisms, exactly **5 free complex parameters** remain among $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_7$, matching $h^{21}(\tilde{X}) = 5$.

Correction note (this work). Two errors were identified in an earlier computation and corrected:

1. *Orbit $m_{\{e_6\}}$:* The weight-4 Z_5 -orbit sum is $m_6 = \sum_k \prod_{\{j \neq k\}} Y_{\{j,1\}}$ (orbit of the weight-4 binary pattern 11110), which has degree (1,1,1,1,1). An earlier formulation wrote $m_6 = \sum_k Y_{\{k,0\}}^2 \prod_{\{j \neq k\}} Y_{\{j,1\}}^2$, which has degree (2,2,2,2,2) and cannot appear in $O(1,1,1,1,1)$ — this was an error.
2. *Z_{10} -equivariant form of Q_1, Q_2 :* The full $g = g_5 \cdot g_2$ generator acts on orbit-sum monomials as $g(m_r) = (-1)^{\{\text{weight}(r)\}} \cdot m_r$. For Q_1 and Q_2 to define a Z_{10} -equivariant variety, each equation must lie in a definite g -eigenspace. The correct Z_{10} -equivariant form at the STF diagonal slice is:

$$Q_1 = m_0 + \varphi_{\text{res}} (m_2 + m_3 + m_6), \quad g(Q_1) = +Q_1 \quad [\text{even-weight orbits}]$$

$$Q_2 = m_7 + \varphi_{\text{res}} (m_1 + m_4 + m_5), \quad g(Q_2) = -Q_2 \quad [\text{odd-weight orbits}]$$

Verified: $\|g \cdot Q_1 - Q_1\| = 0$ and $\|g \cdot Q_2 + Q_2\| = 0$ at machine precision. These corrected forms were used in the Griffiths residue computation of $Y^{(0)}_{ij}$ (§S.5.6, yukawa_cup_product.py).

The STF diagonal slice. The Z_{10} -invariant locus where all moduli take equal values is the diagonal slice:

$$\phi_0 = 1, \quad \phi_1 = \dots = \phi_7 = \varphi$$

This reduces the 5-dimensional family to a 1-parameter family parametrised by $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}$. The Z_{10} fixed-point locus (non-smooth quotient) occurs at:

$$\varphi \in \{1/25, 1/9, 1, \infty\}$$

The STF vacuum φ^* lies in the smooth locus $(1/9, 1)$. The physical vacuum location is determined by the flux superpotential $W = n^a \Pi_a(z_0) = 0$, which fixes z_0 for given integer flux quanta n^a (see §S.5.4). The value $\varphi^* = 1/2$ is used as the reference evaluation point; numerical computation (§S.5.2) confirms this point lies outside the resonance window at $\Theta(1/2) = -1.729$. The resonance-compatible vacuum $\varphi_{\text{res}} \in (0.401, 0.451)$ is located numerically in §S.5.3.

Q.5 Line Bundle Exhaustion — No Equivariant Heterotic Bundle Exists in Database

The Oxford heterotic line bundle database (Anderson et al. [29]) contains 81 models on CICY #7447, all with the correct topological data ($\text{ind}(V) = -30$, $\text{ind}(\wedge^2 V) = -30$). A complete equivariance analysis was performed on all 81.

Result — Z_5 equivariance: 0/81. Individual Z_5 -equivariance (each line bundle L_a fixed by σ) requires $k_1=k_2=k_3=k_4=k_5$ for each L_a , forcing trivial $c_1 = 0$ and vanishing index. Collective equivariance (Z_5 permuting the summand set) was tested for all four non-trivial permutations $\sigma, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, \sigma^4$: **0 collectively equivariant models found.** This is structural — the cyclic constraint and index constraint are jointly incompatible.

Result — Z_2 equivariance: 4/81. Models 26 ($J_1 \leftrightarrow J_2$ swap), 31 ($J_1 \leftrightarrow J_2$), 17 ($J_3 \leftrightarrow J_4$), and 78 ($J_4 \leftrightarrow J_5$) are equivariant.

Extension bundle analysis. For a Z_2 -equivariant rank-2 extension $0 \rightarrow L_a \rightarrow V \rightarrow L_b \rightarrow 0$, the extension class lives in $H^1(X, L_a \otimes L_b^*)$. For all equivariant pairs (the Z_2 -swapped pairs in each model), the Künneth formula on $(P^1)^5$ gives:

- Model 26: $L_4 - L_5 = [-1, 1, 0, 0, 0] \rightarrow H^*(P^1, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0 \rightarrow H^1 = 0$. **Extension impossible.**
- Model 78: $L_2 - L_3 = [0, 0, 0, -1, 1] \rightarrow H^*(P^1, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0 \rightarrow H^1 = 0$. **Extension impossible.**
- Model 17: $L_1 = L_2$ (identical line bundles; the Z_2 swap is $L_1 \leftrightarrow L_2$) $\rightarrow$ extension class in $H^1(X, L_1 \otimes L_2^*) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^1\{0, 1\}(X) = 0$ (Calabi-Yau). **Extension trivial.**
- Model 31: $L_3 = L_4$ (identical line bundles; the Z_2 swap is $L_3 \leftrightarrow L_4$) $\rightarrow$ extension class in $H^1(X, L_3 \otimes L_4^*) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^1\{0, 1\}(X) = 0$ (Calabi-Yau). **Extension trivial.** (Identical argument to Model 17.)

Z_5 -orbit and monad constructions — candidate confirmed (this work). The monad $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \rightarrow 0$ where B is the Z_5 orbit of $L_0 = \mathcal{O}(-1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ gives a rank-4 $SU(4)$ bundle with $c_1(V) = 0$, $H^*(X, V) = (0, 30, 0, 0)$, and Z_5 equivariance by construction. Z_2 equivariance holds automatically (both B and $C = \mathcal{O}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ carry Z_2 eigenvalue -1). The Z_{10} irrep decomposition has been computed explicitly (this work, `z10_irrep_decomposition.py`) via the following chain: since each $L_k \in B$ has a degree- (-1) factor, $H^*(X, B) = 0$, and the long exact sequence gives $H^1(X, V) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1))$ via the connecting homomorphism δ (not $H^1(X, B)$). The 32-dimensional ambient $H^0(A, \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1))$ decomposes as $4\rho_0 + 4\rho_5 + 3\rho_k$ ($k \neq 0, 5$) under $g = g_5 \cdot g_2$; the two CICY defining equations span exactly $\rho_0 \oplus \rho_5$; the quotient gives $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)) = 3\rho_0 + 3\rho_1 + \dots + 3\rho_9 = 3 \times$ (regular representation of Z_{10}). All Lefschetz traces $\text{Tr}(g^n | H^1(X, V)) = 0$ for $n=1, \dots, 9$ (numerically confirmed; consistent with holomorphic Lefschetz for free Z_{10} action). Therefore $h^1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) = n_0 = 3$ exactly — confirming 3 quark generations on the quotient.

Conclusion. All tractable cases (Cases 1–3) are proven impossible or exhausted. Case 5 (monad): the $SU(4)$ monad bundle $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow [Z_5 \text{ orbit of } O(-1,1,1,0,0)] \rightarrow O(1,1,1,1,1) \rightarrow 0$ is confirmed (this work) to give $h^1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) = 3$ quark generations on the quotient. The Z_{10} irrep decomposition $H^1(X, V) = 3 \times (\text{regular representation})$ is established by explicit character computation (cicy7447_cohomology.py, z10_irrep_decomposition.py). The wavefunction overlap $\|Y^{(0)}_{ij}\|_F = 0.9947$ has been computed by the Griffiths residue method (yukawa_cup_product.py, this work), closing the J prediction chain.

Citation @article{paz2026flavourmanifold,
author = {Paz, Z.},
title = {The Flavour Manifold — CICY #7447/ Z_{10} Geometry},
year = {2026},
version = {V1.0},
url = {https://existshappens.com/papers/derivations/flavour-manifold/}
}

[← Consciousness, Time & Identity All Papers Pretemporal Stasis and Cascade Origin of Time →](#)
EXISTS | HAPPENS

The Selective Transient Field Framework

[Framework Papers Predictions About uhecrtoday.com](#)

© 2026 Z. Paz · existshappens.com ORCID [0009-0003-1690-3669](#)